

PATENT

Attorney Docket No. 08130.0007-04000

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: )  
)  
Martin SCHADT et al. ) Group Art Unit: 2871  
)  
Application No.: 10/076,565 ) Examiner: T. Ton  
)  
Filed: February 19, 2002 )  
)  
For: OPTICAL COMPONENT AND )  
METHOD OF MANUFACTURE )

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Response

This communication responds to the Office Action dated August 18, 2003.  
Please reconsider this application in light of the following remarks.

The Examiner rejected pending claims 22-31 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,369,869 and claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,160,597. To expedite prosecution, applicants submit with this Response a Terminal Disclaimer that should avoid both rejections. The filing of the Terminal Disclaimer does not constitute an admission of the propriety of the rejections. See MPEP § 804.02.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Response, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: October 28, 2003

By: Steven J. Scott  
Reg. No. 43,911RECEIVED  
OCT - 3 2003  
10 28 2003 1:11 PM